



BRIEFING PAPER

Number 7008, 8 March 2016

Can private landlords refuse to let to Housing Benefit claimants?

By Alex Adcock

Inside:

1. Is it unlawful to refuse to let to Housing Benefit claimants?
2. Why do private landlords refuse to let to HB claimants?
3. The extent of landlord refusals



Contents

Summary	3
1. Is it unlawful to refuse to let to Housing Benefit claimants?	4
2. Why do private landlords refuse to let to HB claimants?	5
2.1 Changes to Housing Benefit	5
Payment of HB to claimants	5
Affordability and Broad Market Rental Areas (BMRAs)	7
Universal Credit	9
2.2 Payment in arrears and deposits	10
2.3 Mortgage and insurance restrictions	11
3. The extent of landlord refusals	12
Surveys of private landlords	12
Claimant surveys	16

Summary

It is not unusual for private landlords to advertise properties to let stating that they will not accept applications from Housing Benefit claimants. This raises the question of whether such restrictions amount to unlawful discrimination. In fact private landlords *are* free to refuse to let to Housing Benefit claimants, just as they are free to refuse to let to applicants who are not in receipt of benefit but who have a poor credit history.

Historically, landlords were reluctant to let to HB claimants because of delays in processing HB applications, but since April 2008 a key factor influencing landlords has been the introduction of the Local Housing Allowance and the requirement that this, except in certain specified circumstances, is paid to claimants rather than landlords. Further restrictions on the level of LHA paid were introduced by the Coalition Government in April 2011 – these changes led various housing bodies, including representative bodies of private landlords, to argue that HB claimants are being priced out of the market.

This note considers evidence on why landlords might refuse to let to HB claimants and the extent of the problem.

1. Is it unlawful to refuse to let to Housing Benefit claimants?

Where a landlord refuses to let to a prospective tenant because they are in receipt of Housing Benefit/Local Housing Allowance this does not amount to unlawful discrimination:

Sarah Teather: To ask the Deputy Prime Minister what (a) legislative and (b) other measures are in place to prevent private landlords discriminating against letting to tenants in receipt of housing benefit; and if he will make a statement.

Yvette Cooper: Anti-discrimination legislation does not extend to the practice of refusing to let to benefit claimants and it would be impracticable to do so. However landlords often refuse to let to tenants on housing benefit because of the historical delays by local authorities in administering it. In 2002 the Government implemented a comprehensive strategy to improve delivery and now the average time taken to process new claims has been cut by over two weeks, with the greatest improvements seen in the poorest performing local authorities.¹

The receipt of benefits is not one of the *protected characteristics* as set out in the *Equality Act 2010*.²

¹ HC Deb 7 December 2005 c1437W

² [Equality Act 2010](#)

2. Why do private landlords refuse to let to HB claimants?

There has always been a reluctance on the part of some landlords to let to HB claimants for reasons such as administrative delays in processing claims and receiving payment, the risk of HB overpayments being reclaimed from them and the perceived risk of tenants on HB being more likely to accrue rent arrears. Landlords also associate anti-social behaviour and damage to property with HB claimants and often cite these factors as reasons for not accepting benefit claimants. Landlords may be prohibited from letting to HB claimants as a condition of their mortgage agreement and/or insurance policy.

A 2010 Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG) Private Landlord Survey found:

The most cited reasons for not letting to HB or LHA recipients were disturbance or anti-social behaviour (19%), expected delays in payment (17%), unpaid rent and damage to property or furnishings (both 16%).³

Since April 2008 the introduction of Local Housing Allowance (LHA) has been a major concern for landlords because the default position is that HB is now paid to claimants rather than landlords. Prior to this date private landlords often required prospective tenants on HB, as a condition of granting a tenancy, to submit a request to the local authority for their HB entitlement to be paid to the landlord. Furthermore, the LHA rate has been set at a level which is significantly below market rents in some areas. The level of change in the system has created some confusion and caution amongst private landlords

Research published by the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP) in 2013 found:

A majority of landlords said they were being more cautious about letting to Local Housing Allowance (LHA) tenants for various reasons, including the LHA measures; this attitude was more pronounced among buy-to-let landlords concerned about their future income stream.⁴

The section below provides more detailed information on HB changes.

2.1 Changes to Housing Benefit

Payment of HB to claimants

A key concern for landlords is the requirement, since 7 April 2008 (the date on which the Local Housing Allowance (LHA) was introduced), that HB is paid to claimants rather than landlords. Shelter has gathered survey evidence indicating that claimants would also prefer to have their

³ [Private landlords survey 2010, DCLG](#)

⁴ [Monitoring the impact of changes to the Local Housing Allowance system of Housing Benefit: Interim report](#), Research Report 798 (2013)

HB paid direct to their landlords in order to help them manage their finances and reduce the temptation to spend LHA on other bills.⁵

LHA was introduced in part to simplify the housing benefit system and to empower recipients to take responsibility for their finances. LHA is not a benefit in its own right – it is the way in which the rent element of Housing Benefit (HB) is calculated for tenants living in the deregulated private rented sector. It applies to new claimants after 7 April 2008, claimants who have had a break in their claims since that date or those who have changed their address. The implementation and background to this reform is explained in [Paying Local Housing Allowance direct to tenants in private rented housing, Commons Library Standard Note SN03211](#) and [The reform of Housing Benefit \(Local Housing Allowance\) for tenants in private rented housing, Commons Library Standard Note SN04957](#). The only circumstances in which LHA can now be paid direct to a private landlord are:

- where the claimant is in arrears of 8 weeks or more; or
- where the claimant is unlikely to pay their rent; or
- where the claimant is deemed unable to manage their own affairs.

The 2010 DCLG survey of private landlords found:

Twenty-one per cent of those landlords who would not be happy to let to HB or LHA recipients would be encouraged to do so if the payments were not made directly to the tenants, but 55% stated they could never be encouraged to let to these tenants.⁶

The new system was trialled in nine “Pathfinder” areas from November 2003 and was extended to a further nine areas from April 2005. A baseline survey to collect ‘benchmark’ information on the characteristics and attitudes of the private landlords and agents in the nine original pathfinder areas found the following results in relation to direct payments:

- A particular concern regarding the implementation of LHA is the matter of housing benefit being paid direct to tenants. More than eight in ten of the survey respondents said that they preferred housing benefit to be paid directly to themselves rather than to their tenants, and amongst the small minority of landlords who preferred to let to housing benefit tenants this was most commonly because they could receive the benefit directly.
- Almost one half of respondents who had let to housing benefit tenants within the past two years had in fact made payment of the benefit directly to themselves a condition of a tenancy.
- Not surprisingly, therefore, almost two thirds of landlords and agents thought that they would be less likely to want to let to housing benefit tenants if they were no longer able to receive payments of housing benefit directly.⁷

⁵ Shelter Campaigns Briefing, *Local Housing Allowance and direct payments - giving claimants a choice. What do claimants prefer?* (undated)

⁶ [Private landlords survey 2010, DCLG](#)

⁷ [Landlords and Agents in the nine LHA Pathfinders: summary report](#), DWP, 2006

Affordability and Broad Market Rental Areas (BMRAs)

One reason for not letting to HB claimants is purely to do with market forces. In some areas rents are significantly higher than the corresponding level of HB/LHA.

The LHA is a flat rate allowance for different sizes of properties within a Broad Market Rental Area (BMRA). The Rent Officers from the Valuation Office Agency determine BMRAs in England. A BMRA comprises two or more distinct but adjoining areas of residential accommodation, within which a person could reasonably be expected to live.

Prior to April 2011, within a BMRA the LHA for different sizes of properties was calculated by reference to the median rent (where half the rents are lower and half higher). Since April 2011 LHA rates within BMRAs have been based on the 30th percentile of local market rents (rather than the median). In addition, LHAs for different sizes of properties are subject to national caps.

In 2012 it was announced that increases to LHA rates would be capped at 1% in 2014 and 2015 (with an exemption for areas with the highest rent increases⁸). Housing bodies highlighted the impact of LHA rates failing to keep pace with actual rent levels over time. In [Home Truths – 2012](#), the National Housing Federation (NHF) predicted private sector rent rises of 6% a year between 2015 and 2018 and suggested that rent levels would be 27% higher in 2017 than they were in 2012.⁹ The cost of renting a private sector home had, according to work carried out by the NHF, Shelter and the Chartered Institute of Housing (CIH), risen by 37% in the previous five years.¹⁰

The relative reduction in HB/LHA has reinforced the concern that claimants may struggle to pay rents in the future. The prospect of tenants accruing arrears, or the pressure to lower rents for benefit claimants, acts as a disincentive to private landlords. This is particularly the case in areas with a buoyant market and high demand for rented properties.

In 2013 the DWP reported:

Landlords thought that the high demand for private rented sector (PRS) properties meant that there was little market pressure to stabilise or reduce rent levels in the market.

Those landlords who stated that they would continue letting to tenants receiving LHA often had properties in areas with high concentrations of LHA tenants and had few alternative sources of demand, although many were now attempting to diversify. Local housing market conditions and, specifically, alternative sources of demand, were central to many landlords' calculations about whether to negotiate over rents with tenants and about whether they would be willing to let to HB claimants. However,

⁸ The 1% cap in 2014 and 2015 was announced in the Chancellor's Autumn Statement on 5 December 2012. 30% of the savings expected to arise from this measure is used to exempt areas with the highest rent increases.

⁹ NHF, [Home Truths – 2012](#), p7

¹⁰ *Ibid* p8

respondents were often unable to attribute changes in their priorities and decisions solely to LHA changes.

The gap between the contracted rent and the LHA rate in higher demand areas encouraged landlords to reduce their lettings to LHA tenants.

[...]

In terms of future priorities for lettings, rent setting and property acquisition or disposal, the predominant response was to 'wait and see'. This was not true, however, of landlords in the three high value London areas, (Brent, Hackney and Westminster) where landlords were already acting to reduce the proportion of lets to LHA tenants.

[...]

It is important to note that the issues on the horizon that shape future landlord behaviour most may not stem directly from the ripple effects of LHA measures but from one or more of three other factors: even a slight increase in interest rates would, according to many respondents, place intolerable pressure on margins and force some out of the market if increasing rent levels was not an option; the perceived shift towards HB being paid direct to the tenant rather than the landlord was seen as introducing further uncertainty into the reliability of future income streams; and many landlords were very nervous about the introduction of Universal Credit from autumn 2013 onwards, and what they saw as the end of a discrete benefit to pay for the rent.¹¹

The Work and Pensions Select Committee's 2013-14 inquiry into [Support for housing costs in the reformed welfare system](#) concluded:

Reforms to Local Housing Allowance (LHA), paid to Housing Benefit claimants in the private rented sector, have led to a growing discrepancy between the average area rents and the amount of LHA that households can claim. As a result, there is evidence that private sector landlords are becoming increasingly reluctant to rent to LHA recipients and evictions and non-renewal of tenancies are increasing, leading to an increased risk of homelessness among Housing Benefit recipients. Private sector properties which remain affordable to LHA recipients are increasingly of poor quality.¹²

Richard Lambert, chief executive of the National Landlords Association (NLA), warned that the 1% cap "could render private accommodation unaffordable for many tenants in receipt of housing benefit and will deter landlords from investing in much needed housing for those receiving support."¹³

Following a widely reported story of a large private landlord seeking to terminate the tenancies of all benefit claimants¹⁴ the NLA emphasised the market factors underlying letting decisions:

¹¹ [Monitoring the impact of changes to the Local Housing Allowance system of Housing Benefit](#), Interim Report – summary, DWP 2013

¹² [Support for housing costs in the reformed welfare system](#), 2 April 2014, HC 720, 2013–14, Summary

¹³ *Inside Housing*, "[Benefit cuts raise homelessness fears](#)", 7 December 2012

¹⁴ "[Buy-to-let property supremo shuts door on housing benefit tenants](#)", *The Guardian*, 4 January 2014

9 Can private landlords refuse to let to Housing Benefit claimants?

In light of the recent news regarding the landlord in Kent issuing eviction notices to his tenants on welfare, the NLA has released the following statement.

Chief Executive Officer, Richard Lambert:

“Our current research shows we’re seeing more and more landlords moving away from renting to tenants claiming benefits.

“It was widely assumed that rent rises were fuelled by housing benefit, and that if benefit rates were reduced, rents would fall back to meet them. That’s been shown to be a completely false assumption. There are many wider factors affecting rent levels, principally the availability of properties and the number of people looking to rent.

“As the Welfare Reform agenda has progressed over the past three years, benefit levels haven’t kept up with rents, meaning it’s a greater risk for landlords renting to tenants who rely on benefits, which is why they are looking more and more to working tenants who don’t tend to fall into arrears that easily. The fact is that there are many more working tenants looking to rent because it is still so difficult for first-time buyers to get onto the housing ladder.

“However, we know of many landlords who have rented to housing benefit tenants on for many years and have never had a problem, so our advice would be to always look at every tenant on an individual basis.

“Being a landlord is a business and there are landlords who specialise in letting in the housing benefit market. They tend to be the more experienced landlords with larger portfolios, who understand how to manage tenancies to ensure stability and minimise the risk of arrears.”¹⁵

The announcement in the Summer Budget Statement on 8 July 2015, that working age benefits would be frozen for four years, may add to landlords’ concerns about the gap between LHA and market rent levels.¹⁶

Universal Credit

The DWP’s 2013 interim report on the impact of changes to the LHA reported that:

... many landlords were very nervous about the introduction of Universal Credit from autumn 2013 onwards, and what they saw as the end of a discrete benefit to pay for the rent.¹⁷

The Work and Pensions Select Committee’s 2013-14 inquiry found:

Both private and social sector landlords are concerned about how tenants will manage direct payments of housing costs under Universal Credit. There was particular concern that vulnerable tenants may not receive help until they have accrued unmanageable levels of rent arrears.¹⁸

The NLA has also expressed concern:

¹⁵ [NLA statement on evicting housing benefit tenants, 7 January 2014](#)

¹⁶ [Summer Budget 2015](#), HC 264 2015-16, para 1.137

¹⁷ [Monitoring the impact of changes to the Local Housing Allowance system of Housing Benefit](#), Interim report, DWP, 2013

¹⁸ [Support for housing costs in the reformed welfare system](#), 2 April 2014, HC 720, 2013-1, Summary

“Change spells uncertainty,” said the NLA’s policy spokesman, Chris Norris. “Many landlords have adjusted to LHA and are now happy – but ongoing welfare reform and doubts about universal credit introduce too much risk for some.”¹⁹

Carolyn Uphill, Chairman of the NLA, commented: “Benefit payments simply haven’t kept up with rents over the past few years as the Universal Credit programme has progressed and cuts to welfare payments have been made. This has led to concern among many landlords that tenants will fall behind on rent as their finances become increasingly squeezed. “If tenants don’t fully understand what Universal Credit is or haven’t even heard of it, more and more landlords will lose confidence that letting to this market is financially viable, especially with the high demand and availability from other types of tenants. “Our findings show a significant number of tenants would prefer their housing support to be paid directly to their landlord. If this was an option from the beginning of the tenancy it would avoid the build-up of arrears in the first place, give landlords the confidence that rent would be paid on time and lead to fewer tenancies ending prematurely.”²⁰

According to the latest research* from the National Landlords Association (NLA), landlords remain concerned over the impact of Universal Credit on the private-rented sector. 70 per cent of landlords who let to tenants in receipt of Local Housing Allowance (LHA) are worried about the implications of the welfare changes. In addition, nearly half (43 per cent) of all landlords are concerned about the changes.

*712 online interviews. Fieldwork took place 22nd March – 10th April 2013.²¹

2.2 Payment in arrears and deposits

A *Guardian* article (2012) cited the payment process as one of the areas concerning private landlords:

When letting to tenants who depend on the allowance, assuming the LHA can even be paid direct to the landlord (which is not always the case following changes to the system in 2008), the payments are nearly always made in arrears. This compares unfavourably with a private letting where the rent is paid a calendar monthly in advance, which means a better cash flow for landlords.

The administration of housing benefit can be slow and involve lots of form filling. Payments can start and stop without notice, and councils retain the right to try to claw back past payments from landlords if it later emerges that their tenant was claiming fraudulently – even if the landlord was unaware of a change in the tenant’s circumstances.

Tenants who are dependent on housing benefit often do not have a deposit they can hand over to the landlord to protect. And even where local government can help with a deposit, private landlords often tell us that council schemes are cumbersome, slow to

¹⁹ [“Why landlords are shunning profitable benefit tenants”](#), *Daily Telegraph*, 11 January 2014

²⁰ [Universal credit continues to confuse NLA, 3 February 2014](#)

²¹ [Landlords wary of Universal Credit, 12 June 2013](#)

11 Can private landlords refuse to let to Housing Benefit claimants?

administer and claim against in the event of damages caused by tenants.²²

2.3 Mortgage and insurance restrictions

Some lenders have reportedly been reluctant to provide mortgages to landlords letting to HB claimants; in addition, it can be more difficult to obtain insurance at competitive rates:

Another factor is mortgage lending...

The biggest landlord lender, BM Solutions (part of Lloyds Banking Group), is prepared to lend to landlords whose tenants' income comprises benefits. The second biggest lender, Nationwide Building Society through its subsidiary The Mortgage Works, will also lend – but clearly has doubts. It announced in February 2013 that no new mortgages would be advanced to landlords whose tenants received benefits. Three months later, after criticism in the press, it reversed this decision.

David Hollingworth of mortgage broker London & Country, which has a large buy-to-let division, said: "Lenders continue to offer buy-to-let mortgage finance to landlords letting to tenants in receipt of housing benefit, although this type of tenancy has always restricted the choice of mortgage provider. With changes to the benefit system, some landlords may be wondering if their higher yield will be eroded to such a degree that they should turn their attention to a different market."

...and there is also the issue of insurance

Many landlords insure against tenants falling into arrears, as the cost is relatively low and can be built into the rent. The cost can be deducted from landlords' profits for tax purposes. Paying a premium of £100 a year, under a typical policy, would mean that a landlord could claim unpaid rent of £2,000 a month, after the first month, up to a maximum period of a year, plus legal and other costs associated with evicting a tenant. But all insurers insist on a tenant credit reference first, and many exclude those without an earned income.²³

The Guardian reported that:

Buildings and contents insurance premiums are often higher where a landlord lets to people dependent on the Local Housing Allowance. Sometimes insurance is refused to landlords altogether. Central government must challenge the insurance industry on whether such inflated premiums are justified by claims records.²⁴

²² "[The seven reasons why landlords won't let to tenants on benefits](#)", *Guardian*, 2 May 2012

²³ "[Why landlords are shunning profitable benefit tenants](#)", *Daily Telegraph*, 11 January 2014

²⁴ "[The seven reasons why landlords won't let to tenants on benefits](#)", *Guardian*, 2 May 2012

3. The extent of landlord refusals

There is no definitive information on the extent to which landlords are refusing to let to HB/LHA claimants.

The then Minister for Housing, Mark Prisk, told the Work and Pensions Select Committee that around one third of households living in the private rented sector (PRS) are in receipt of full or partial Housing Benefit/LHA. He argued that this represented proof that benefit claimants were still able to access the sector:

Andrew Rosindell: To ask the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government what steps he is taking to encourage landlords to accept benefit claimants as tenants.

Mr Prisk: The Government estimate that, at any point in time, around one third of households privately renting are in receipt of housing benefit. This suggests that it continues to be possible for claimants to access the sector. However, private landlords and letting agents operate on a commercial basis and, as for any business, it is reasonable for them to make independent decisions about who they let to.²⁵

The Committee questioned the Government's estimate:

The Government argued that private sector landlords were still willing to rent to people in receipt of Housing Benefit and pointed out that 30% of people renting in the private sector were in receipt of Housing Benefit. We queried this figure with Lord Freud as in our 2010 inquiry he had told us that the figure was 40%. In subsequent written evidence, **the Government acknowledged that "there are different sources of information on the proportion of the PRS that is in receipt of housing benefit. None of these gives a definitively accurate figure"**. At the time of the 2011 census, it is estimated that "there were potentially 34% of households in the PRS in receipt of housing benefit" but this was likely to be "a small overestimate because a household may include more than one benefit unit in receipt of housing benefit".²⁶

Surveys of private landlords

Reported landlord survey evidence suggests that there has been a fall in the proportion of private landlords willing to let to HB claimants.

A 2016 survey for Crisis found that 55% of landlords said they were unwilling to let to tenants in receipt of housing benefit.²⁷

The Work and Pensions Select Committee report (April 2014) concluded:

Impact of LHA reforms on private sector landlords

There is evidence that increasing numbers of private sector landlords are no longer willing to rent to Housing Benefit recipients. Several witnesses reported that the number of evictions of Housing Benefit recipients in the private rental sector (PRS) has

²⁵ HC Deb 4 June 2013 c1061W

²⁶ [Support for housing costs in the reformed welfare system](#), 2 April 2014, HC 720, 2013–14

²⁷ [Home. No less will do: Homeless peoples' access into the private rented sector](#), February 2016

13 Can private landlords refuse to let to Housing Benefit claimants?

increased since 2010 as a result of landlords ending shorthold tenancies with a view to re-renting properties at higher prices to tenants not in receipt of Housing Benefit. Homeless Link referred to reports from an agency which places homeless people in the private sector, which had lost 20% of the landlords with whom it had worked within the last year “specifically on the grounds that they think they can get higher rents paid by people who are not on benefits.”²⁸

Representatives of private landlords agreed. Chris Town of the Residential Landlords Association (RLA) said: **“the vast majority [of our members] are reluctant to take benefit claimants, not just because of the benefit and welfare changes, but because of higher management costs involved in managing benefit claimants.”** The RLA reported that arrears have increased since the introduction of the LHA (and the switch to paying Housing Benefit directly to tenants in the private sector). It warned that, as LHA rates continue to lose value relative to private sector rents, landlords will have less motivation to rent to benefit claimants or invest in the affordable rental market and less incentive to keep homes properly repaired and maintained. Carolyn Uphill of the National Landlords Association made a similar point:

“[...] in the last three years there has been a 50% drop in the number of landlords taking people who are on benefits. It is now down to only one fifth; 22% of our landlord members whom we surveyed say they have LHA tenants, and 52% of those surveyed said they would not look at taking on benefits tenants.”²⁹

The *Daily Telegraph* (January 2014) reported NLA figures:

Benefit claimants are more profitable than many types of tenants, but landlords are turning them away

[...]

According to the National Landlords' Association (NLA), the biggest organisation representing buy-to-let investors, **the proportion of landlords prepared to accept tenants receiving LHA has more than halved in three years.** In mid-2010, 46pc of the NLA's members let to tenants who received the benefit. By late last year this had dropped to 22pc, with the NLA commenting that the “decline steepened during 2013”.³⁰

The Guardian reported similar findings arising from a SpareRoom survey:

A survey finds that of the landlords who currently let to people on benefits, more than half say they won't after the roll-out of universal credit.

Changes to welfare payments and concerns about the new universal credit system mean that four in five landlords are unwilling to let properties to tenants who receive housing benefits, a survey has found.

Recently it emerged that one of the UK's best-known landlords, Fergus Wilson, had served eviction notices on tenants claiming

²⁸ *Ibid*, para 21

²⁹ *Ibid*, para 22

³⁰ [Why landlords are shunning profitable benefit tenants](#), *Daily Telegraph*, 11 January 2014

benefits, and other big property investors suggested they might follow suit.

Now a survey by SpareRoom.co.uk has found that there has already been a sharp decline in the number of landlords offering homes to claimants.

A poll of more than 1,500 landlords around the country seen by theguardian.com/money found that **just 18% currently had tenants on housing benefit in one or more of their properties, against a figure of a third when a similar survey was done two years ago.**

Although improved economic circumstances and a fall in unemployment could have accounted for some of the drop, other results from the survey suggest that landlords are less willing to take on tenants who are claiming help to meet rent payments.

Almost six in 10 landlords (57%) said they refused to accept anyone on benefits now, specifically stating "no housing benefit tenants" in their adverts.

Worryingly for future tenants, **of those landlords who do currently let to people receiving benefits, more than half said they would not take any more on after the roll-out of universal credit.** The single payment will replace separate allowances for housing and other living expenses and will be paid monthly rather than weekly.

Despite the government's assurances that landlords will actually receive greater protection under universal credit, with plans to allow a review of who payments are made to after a tenant falls just one month into arrears, there are signs that many are growing concerned about the new system.

The SpareRoom survey found that the majority of landlords were unhappy with previous changes to the benefits system. In 2008, the introduction of local housing allowance moved payments to tenants rather than landlords, unless a direct payment is requested, and 88% of those questioned said this had been a bad thing.

Of those who are no longer happy to take tenants on benefits, 90% said they had problems when they did so, including late payments and damage to property.

Matt Hutchinson, director of SpareRoom, said: "The 2008 move to stop landlords receiving rent payments direct – designed to give those on benefits greater responsibility for their finances – has had overwhelmingly negative and lasting repercussions for tenants on housing benefit."

He added: "With rents rising and the welfare budget suffering from continued government cuts, the outlook for tenants reliant on housing benefit is getting bleaker."³¹

In July 2013 the National Landlords Association reported a change in landlords' attitudes:

According to the latest research* from the National Landlords Association (NLA), the number of landlords letting to tenants in receipt of Local Housing Allowance (LHA) has fallen to 27 per cent, a seven per cent reduction since quarter one.

³¹ ["More landlords turn away housing benefit claimants"](#), *The Guardian*, 21 January 2014

15 Can private landlords refuse to let to Housing Benefit claimants?

38 per cent of ALL landlords are worried about the impact of Universal Credit and **over half (51%) of landlords actively choose not to let to LHA recipients or other benefit claimants**. The reluctance to let to this market is strongest amongst the smaller landlords, with six in ten ruling out letting to this tenant type.³²

*1066 online interviews with NLA members carried out between 14th and 28th June 2013

Less recent surveys also found that a minority of private landlords were willing to let to HB claimants. The DCLG's Private Landlord survey in 2010 found that 53% of all landlords and 56% of private individual landlords were not willing to let to HB/LHA claimants. These accounted for 46% of dwellings owned by all landlords and 52% of private individual landlords respectively.³³

A Shelter report in 2006 found:

One-third of advertisements for private rented properties barred HB claimants. This has increased over the past two years in Pathfinder and control areas alike. When landlords advertising properties that appeared to be affordable and open to HB claimants were contacted by phone, as few as one in six said they would accept a claimant. Around one-third were unsure – mostly citing a lack of experience of letting to this group, or fear of the HB system. The rest refused outright.³⁴

However, DWP research (July 2014) on the impact of reforms to the LHA introduced by the Coalition Government found that higher proportions of private landlords let to HB claimants and intended to continue:

Summary findings

In wave 2, 73 per cent of landlords said they let to out-of-work benefit claimants, down from 79 per cent in wave 1. Among Inner London landlords, it was 54 per cent, down from 66 per cent.

In terms of future plans, 74 per cent of all landlords in wave 2 (the same as in wave 1) and 64 per cent in the Inner London sub-sample (62 per cent in wave 1) said they intended to continue letting to Local Housing Allowance (LHA) tenants in the coming year. When asked in a more general way whether they were 'considering' or 'planning' to exit the market for LHA properties in the following 12 months, 35 per cent of landlords in wave 2 (compared to 31 per cent in wave 1) said they were. It is likely that a much smaller proportion than this will actually exit the market in the next 12 months.

[...]

Thirty-seven per cent of landlords had taken action to evict, not renew or end tenancies of LHA tenants since April 2011, compared to 27 per cent who had taken action against non-LHA tenants.

³² ["Landlords exit Local Housing Allowance market," NLA Press Release, 30 July 2013](#)

³³ [Private Landlord survey 2010, DCLG.](#)

³⁴ [The path to success? Shelter's research on Housing Benefit reform: the final report, Shelter, 2006, pp15-18](#)

Thirty per cent of landlords in wave 2 said HB was paid direct to them for all their tenants and a further 17 per cent for the majority of their tenants.

[...]

In many areas outside London, especially where the gap between the contracted rent and the LHA rate was relatively small, landlords said they had adapted quickly to the new regime. In LHA Dominant case study areas, a higher proportion of landlords than in other areas said that the reductions in LHA rates had placed particular pressure on landlords' margins due to the lack of other sources of demand outside the LHA market. As a result many landlords said they had little option but to reduce their rents in line with the reduced LHA rate, and/or to reduce maintenance expenditure.³⁵

Claimant surveys

A YouGov poll commissioned by *Who Benefits?* found that 16% of claimants had been turned away by landlords or letting agents when seeking to rent a property.³⁶

According to a Shelter survey, 60% of respondents found it difficult to find landlords who were willing to let to LHA claimants.³⁷

Early DWP research on the impact of the Coalition's LHA reforms found:

62 per cent of claimants living in London, compared with 47 per cent of those living in other parts of the country, reported that landlords were generally unwilling to let their accommodation to tenants in receipt of HB.³⁸

³⁵ [The impact of recent reforms to Local Housing Allowances: Summary of key findings](#), DWP, July 2014

³⁶ ["Second class citizens"? The personal impact of the public debate on benefits](#), July 2014

³⁷ [Campaigns Briefing. Local Housing Allowance and direct payments - giving claimants a choice](#), Shelter (undated)

³⁸ [Monitoring the impact of changes to the Local Housing Allowance system of housing benefit: Summary of early findings](#), Research Report 798 (2012)

The House of Commons Library research service provides MPs and their staff with the impartial briefing and evidence base they need to do their work in scrutinising Government, proposing legislation, and supporting constituents.

As well as providing MPs with a confidential service we publish open briefing papers, which are available on the Parliament website.

Every effort is made to ensure that the information contained in these publically available research briefings is correct at the time of publication. Readers should be aware however that briefings are not necessarily updated or otherwise amended to reflect subsequent changes.

If you have any comments on our briefings please email papers@parliament.uk. Authors are available to discuss the content of this briefing only with Members and their staff.

If you have any general questions about the work of the House of Commons you can email hcinfo@parliament.uk.

Disclaimer - This information is provided to Members of Parliament in support of their parliamentary duties. It is a general briefing only and should not be relied on as a substitute for specific advice. The House of Commons or the author(s) shall not be liable for any errors or omissions, or for any loss or damage of any kind arising from its use, and may remove, vary or amend any information at any time without prior notice.

The House of Commons accepts no responsibility for any references or links to, or the content of, information maintained by third parties. This information is provided subject to the [conditions of the Open Parliament Licence](#).